

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

RAY SHARPE.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01624-MMD-NJK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

LT. RUIZ, *et al.*,

Defendants.

11 Plaintiff Ray Sharpe attempts to sue the Nevada Department of Corrections and
12 numerous other parties based on his experience while incarcerated at High Desert State
13 Prison. (ECF No. 20 at 20-49.) Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation
14 ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe (ECF No. 101),
15 recommending this case be dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiff failed to comply
16 with the Court's orders and the Local Rules. To date, no objections to the R&R have been
17 filed. Because there is no objection, and as further explained below, the Court will adopt
18 the R&R.

Because there is no objection, the Court need not conduct de novo review, and is satisfied that Judge Koppe did not clearly err. See *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1116 (9th Cir. 2003) (“De novo review of the magistrate judges’ findings and recommendations is required if, but only if, one or both parties file objections to the findings and recommendations.”). As mentioned, Judge Koppe properly determined that Plaintiff repeatedly failed to adhere to the Court’s orders to retain new counsel or file a notice of intent to proceed pro se and that Plaintiff violated the Local Rules by failing to update his address with the Court. (ECF No. 101 at 1-2.) Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply could result in sanctions or dismissal. (*Id.*) Having reviewed the R&R, Judge Koppe did not clearly err.

1 It is therefore ordered that Judge Koppe's Report and Recommendation (ECF No.
2 101) is accepted and adopted in full.

3 It is further ordered that this case be dismissed without prejudice.

4 The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

5 DATED THIS 25th Day of April 2025.

6
7
8 
MIRANDA M. DU
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28